BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

DAVE McGHEE,)
Petitioner,))) PCB No. 07-
vs.) (UST Appeal)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,)))
Respondent.)
Ī	NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Mr. Greg Richardson
Division of Legal Counsel
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
1021 N. Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, IL 62764-9276

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 4, 2007 I electronically filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board the Petitioner's Brief, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Respectfully Submitted

DAVE McGHEE,
Petitioner

BY: ______

Jeffrey W. Tock

Dated: December 5, 2007

Jeffrey W. Tock Harrington & Tock 201 W. Springfield Ave., Suite 601 P.O. Box 1550 Champaign, Illinois 61824-1550 Telephone: (217) 352-4167

vlb/Pleadings.jef/HDC/McGhee-NoticeFiling

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

DAVE McGHEE,)
Petitioner,)) DCR No. 2009 20
vs.) PCB No. 2008-36) (UST Appeal)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL)
PROTECTION AGENCY,)
Respondent.)

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE OF JEFFREY W. TOCK

NOW COMES Jeffrey W. Tock, of the law firm of Harrington & Tock, and hereby enters his appearance on behalf of Petitioner, DAVE McGHEE, in the above-referenced matter.

Respectfully Submitted

DAVE McGHEE, Petitioner,

By: /S/ Jeffrey W. Tock

Dated: December 5, 2007

Jeffrey W. Tock Harrington & Tock 201 W. Springfield Ave., Suite 601 P.O. Box 1550 Champaign, Illinois 61824-1550 Telephone: (217) 352-4167

vlb/Pleadings.jef/HDC/McGhee-EntryApp

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

DAVE McGHEE,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	PCB No. 07-
VS.)	(UST Appeal)
)	
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL)	
PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

PETITION FOR REVIEW OF ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S DECISION TO DENY PETITIONER'S HIGH PRIORITY CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN BUDGET

NOW COMES the Petitioner, DAVE McGHEE (hereinafter "Petitioner"), by and through its attorneys, Harrington & Tock, and, pursuant to Sections 40 and 57.7 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act") (415 ILCS 5/40, 5/57.7), and 35 Ill. Admin. Code Part 105, hereby requests review of the decision by the Respondent, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Agency"), to modify the Petitioner's Corrective Action Budget Proposal. In support of this Petition, the Petitioner states as follows:

- Petitioner is the owner of certain real property located at 112 N. Sycamore Street,
 Villa Grove, Illinois, hereinafter referred to as the "Site".
- 2. Petitioner was the owner of underground storage tanks ("USTs") formerly located at the Site.
- 3. Petitioner submitted to the Agency its Corrective Action Plan and Budget for the Site on July 10, 2007. A copy of the Corrective Action Budget Proposal as submitted to Respondent is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- The Agency approved the Corrective Action Plan ("CAP") on November 1, 2007.
 No appeal is being taken as to the approval of the CAP.

- 5. By the same letter dated November 1, 2007, the Agency modified the proposed Budget for the reasons listed in Attachment A to that letter. A true and accurate copy of that letter, with attachments, is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "B".
- 6. Also attached to the Agency's November 1, 2007 letter was a page captioned "Appeal Rights" which stated that "An underground storage tank owner or operator may appeal this final decision to the Illinois Pollution Control Board... within 35 days after the date of issuance of the final decision".
- 7. This Petition to review the Agency's rejection of the proposed Budget is filed within 35 days from November 1, 2007.
- 8. Section 1 of Attachment A to the Agency's letter of September 12, 2006 states as follows:

"As a result of the Illinois EPA's modification(s) in Section 2 of this Attachment A, the following amounts are approved:

\$4,390.05	Drilling and Monitoring Well Costs
\$3,149.60	Analytical Costs
\$73,536.25	Remediation and Disposal Costs
\$0.00	UST Removal and Abandonment Costs
\$11,270.80	Paving, Demolition and Well
	Abandonment Costs
\$0.00	Consulting Personnel Costs
\$2,312.63	Consultant's Materials Costs

- 9. Petitioner appeals the Agency's rejection of <u>all</u> Consulting Personnel Costs.
- 10. The Agency stated in 2 of Attachment A to the November 1, 2007 letter as follows:
 - "2. The Agency is requesting a more detailed breakdown of costs per individual in the personnel portion of the budget. Based upon this request, all of the consulting personnel costs were deducted from the budget."

11. 35 Ill.Adm.Code 732.606(hh) states as follows:

"Costs ineligible for payment from the Fund include but are not limited to: ... (hh) Costs proposed as part of a budget plan that are unreasonable; ..."

- 12. The proposed Budget submitted by Petitioner to the Agency on July 10, 2007 contained the proposed Consulting Personnel Costs on the new budget forms required by IEPA, copies of the Consulting Personnel Costs Forms are attached hereto as group Exhibit "C". The forms show twenty-five (25) line entries that describe the Remediation Category, the title of the person performing the work, the number of hours allocated to perform the work, the hourly rate for each person performing the work, the total cost for each line item and a description of each task within the Remediation Category.
- 13. This level of detailed breakdown of the costs/hours/persons associated with the CAP is a method that was approved by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (IPCB) in February of this year in *Webb & Sons, Inc. vs. IEPA*, PCB 07-24 (February 15, 2007). The issues in *Webb* were nearly identical to the issues here. In *Webb*, the IEPA, by Harry A. Chappel as Unit Manager, rejected all Personnel Costs and requested "an hourly breakdown of each task performed by each job title in order to make a more thorough review of the proposed personnel costs." At hearing, Mr. John Malcom of the EPA testified that he knew the acceptable range for personnel costs for each part of the project and each personnel title. Mr. Malcom is now the project manager on this case and is knowledgeable of what is a reasonable cost for each task.

- 14. The PCB agreed with petitioner that IEPA could make a determination of whether or not the Consulting Personnel Costs submitted by Petitioner were reasonable without having the Petitioner submit an additional hourly breakdown of each task performed by each job title.
- 15. It was bad faith, arbitrary and capricious of the Agency to reject Petitioner's

 Budget proposal based upon an alleged lack of a detailed breakdown of all hours

 associated with each task, given the level of detail stated in the proposed Budget.
- 16. It was bad faith, arbitrary and capricious of the Agency to reject Petitioner's Budget proposal based upon the Agency's objection to an alleged lack of detail when the Agency has approved this same level of hourly breakdown of tasks for other projects.
- 17. Petitioner seeks review of the Agency's November 1, 2007 rejection of the Consulting Personnel Costs set forth in Petitioner's Budget proposal.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, DAVE McGHEE, respectfully requests that the Illinois Pollution Control Board grant the following relief:

- Find that the Agency's November 1, 2007 rejection of the Consulting Personnel
 Costs section of the Petitioner's Budget proposal was in bad faith, arbitrary,
 capricious and without statutory authority;
- Reverse the Agency's decision to reject the Consulting Personnel Costs section of the Petitioner's Budget proposal;
- Remand this matter to the Agency with instructions to approve the Petitioner's Budget proposal as submitted;

- 4. Award the Petitioner his attorney's fees and expenses incurred in bringing this action; and,
- 5. Award such further relief as deemed just and equitable in these premises.

DAVE McGHEE, Petitioner,

By: /S/

Jeffrey W. Tock

Dated: December 5, 2007

Jeffrey W. Tock Harrington & Tock 201 W. Springfield Ave., Suite 601 P.O. Box 1550 Champaign, Illinois 61824-1550

Telephone: (217) 352-4167

General Information for the Rudget and Billing Forcember 5, 2007 * * * * * PCB 2008-036 * * * * *

LPC #:	0410355014	County:	Douglas
City: V	illa Grove	Site Name:	Dave's Conoco
Site Add	dress: 112 North Sycamore		
IEMA Ir	ncident No.: 20010679		
IEMA N	otification Date		
Date thi	s form was prepared: Jul 10, 2007		
This fo	rm is being submitted as a (check one):		
\boxtimes	Budget Proposal		
	Budget Amendment (Budget amendmen	nts must inclu	ude only the costs over the previous budget.
	Billing Package		
	Please provide the name(s) and date(s)	of report(s)	documenting the costs requested:
	Name(s):		
	Date(s):		
This pa	ckage is being submitted for the site ac	tivities indic	cated below :
35 III. A	dm. Code 734:		
	Early Action		
	Free Product Removal after Early Action	í	
	Site Investigation Sta	ige 1: 🔲 💠	Stage 2: Stage 3:
	Corrective Action		
35 III. Ad	dm. Code 732:		
	Early Action		
	Free Product Removal after Early Action		
	Site Classification		
	Low Priority Corrective Action		
\boxtimes	High Priority Corrective Action		
35 III. Ad	m. Code 731:		
	Site Investigation		
	Corrective Action		

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office, December 5, 2007 * * * * * PCB 2008-036 * * * * * General Information for the Budget and Billing Forms

Pay to the order of: Dave McGhee

Send in care of: HDC Engineering

The following address will be used as the mailing address for checks and any final determination letters regarding payment from the Fund.

City: Champaign		State: Illinois	Zip:	61824
faila	1. yes	hec		e a change of address
Signature of the owner or oper	rator of the UST(s) (required)		anguna auna ara-ara-ara-
Number of petroleum USTs in parent or joint stock company or joint stock company of the o	of the owner or	operator; and any comp		
Fewer than 101	X 101 or	more:		
Number of USTs at the site: have been removed.)	3(N	umber of USTs includes	USTs presently a	t the site and USTs th
		CANCEL TO THE CA		
			20010679	
			20010679	
ncident Numbers assigned to	ever been locate	eleases from USTs: 2	hat are presently lo	
ncident Numbers assigned to	the site due to r	eleases from USTs: 2		Type of Release
Number of incidents reported incident Numbers assigned to Please list all tanks that have a Product Stored in UST	ever been locate	d at the site and tanks t	hat are presently lo	Type of Release Tank Leak / Overfill
Please list all tanks that have a Product Stored in UST	ever been locate Size (gallons)	d at the site and tanks to Did UST have a release?	hat are presently lo	Type of Release Tank Leak / Overfill Piping Leak
Please list all tanks that have a	ever been locate Size (gallons)	d at the site and tanks to Did UST have a release?	Incident No.	Type of Release Tank Leak / Overfill Piping Leak Tank Leak
Please list all tanks that have a Product Stored in UST assoline	ever been locate Size (gallons) 6,000	d at the site and tanks to Did UST have a release? Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No	Incident No. 20010679	Type of Release Tank Leak / Overfill Piping Leak Tank Leak
Please list all tanks that have a Product Stored in UST asoline	ever been locate Size (gallons) 6,000	d at the site and tanks to Did UST have a release? Yes X No Yes X	Incident No. 20010679	Type of Release Tank Leak / Overfill Piping Leak Tank Leak Tank Leak
Please list all tanks that have a Product Stored in UST asoline	ever been locate Size (gallons) 6,000	d at the site and tanks to Did UST have a release? Yes X No X Yes X Yes X No X Yes X Yes X No X Yes X No X Yes X	Incident No. 20010679	Type of Release Tank Leak / Overfill Piping Leak Tank Leak Tank Leak
Please list all tanks that have a Product Stored in UST asoline	ever been locate Size (gallons) 6,000	d at the site and tanks to bid UST have a release? Yes X No Yes X No Yes X No Yes	Incident No. 20010679	Type of Release Tank Leak / Overfill Piping Leak Tank Leak
Please list all tanks that have a Product Stored in UST assoline	ever been locate Size (gallons) 6,000	d at the site and tanks to Did UST have a release? Yes X No Yes X No Yes No Ye	Incident No. 20010679	Type of Release Tank Leak / Overfill Piping Leak Tank Leak

Budget Summary

Choose the applicable regulation: (734 @ 732

732	Free Product	Site Classification	Low Priority Corrective Action	High Priority Corrective Action to Define the Extent of Contamination	High Priority Corrective Action
Drilling and Monitoring Well Costs Form	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 4,390.05
Analytical Costs Form	\$	s	\$	\$	\$ 3,149.60
Remediation and Disposal Costs Form	\$	s	\$	\$	\$ 73,536.25
UST Removal and Abandonment Costs Form	\$	5	\$	\$	\$.00
Paving, Demolition, and Well Abandonment Costs Form	\$	s	\$	\$	\$ 11,270.80
Consulting Personnel Costs Form	s	\$	s	s	\$ 95,587.00
Consultant's Materials Costs Form	\$	\$	s	s	\$ 2,312.63
Handling Charges Form	the Illinois EPA.	es will be determing The amount of all in the Handling Ch	lowable handling	billing package is s charges will be de	submitted to etermined in
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 190,246.33

Drilling and Monitoring Well Costs Form

1. Drilling

Number of Borings to Be Drilled	Type HSA/PUSH/ Injection	Depth (feet) of Each Boring	Total Feet Drilled	Reason for Drilling
1	PUSH	1.00	1.00	Lump sum drill cost (1 boring (8 ft) for TACO sample)
1	PUSH	1.00	1.00	Lump sum drill cost (landfill parameter sample (8 ft))
11	HSA	1.00	1.00	Lump sum drill cost (replace MW3, if needed (15 ft))

	Total Feet	Rate per Foot (\$)	Total Cost
Total Feet via HSA:	1.00	1,588.00	\$1,588.00
Total Feet via PUSH:	2.00	1,270.00	\$2,540.00
Total Feet for Injection via PUSH:			
		Total Drilling Costs:	\$4,128.00

2. Monitoring / Recovery Wells

Number of Wells	Type of Well HSA / PUSH / 4" or 6" Recovery / 8" Recovery	Diameter of Well (inches)	Depth of Well (feet)	Total Feet of Wells to Be Installed
1	HSA	2.00	15.00	15.00

Well Installation	Total Feet	Rate per Foot (\$)	Total Cost
Total Feet via HSA:	15.00	17.47	\$262.05
Total Feet via PUSH:			
Total Feet of 4" or 6" Recovery:			
Total Feet of 8" or Greater Recovery:			
		Total Well Costs:	\$262.05

Analytical Costs Form

Laboratory Analysis	Number of Samples		Cost (\$) per Analysis		Total per Parameter
Chemical Analysis		-			
BETX Soil with MTBE EPA 8260	27	Х	89.00	=	\$2,403.00
BETX Water with MTBE EPA 8260	2	X	85.00	=	\$170.00
COD (Chemical Oxygen Demand)		X		=	***************************************
Corrosivity		х		=	
Flash Point or Ignitability Analysis EPA 1010	1	Х	34.00	=	\$34.0
Fraction Organic Carbon Content (foc) ASTM-D 2974-00	1	Х	40.00	=	\$40.0
Fat, Oil, & Grease (FOG)		Х		=	
LUST Pollutants Soil - analysis must include volatile, base/ neutral, polynuclear aromatics and metals list in Section 732. Appendix B and 734.Appendix B		х		•	e Celladi
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)		Х		=	
Paint Filter (Free Liquids)	1	X	14.00	=	\$14.00
PCB / Pesticides (combination)		Х		=	
PCBs	-	X		=	
Pesticides		Х		=	
рН		X	11 11 11	=	
Phenol		Х		=	
Polynuclear Aromatics PNA, or PAH SOIL EPA 8270		X		=	
Polynuclear Aromatics PNA, or PAH WATER EPA 8270		X		=	
Reactivity		X		=	
SVOC - Soil (Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds)		Х		=	
SVOC - Water (Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds)		Х		=	
TKN (Total Kjeldahl) "nitrogen"		Х		=	
TPH (Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons)		Х		=	
VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds) - Soil (Non-Aqueous)		Х		=	
VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds) - Water		X		=	
		Х		=	
		X		=	
		Х		=	
		X		=	
		Х		=	
Geo-Technical Analysis	1				
Soil Bulk Density (pb) ASTM D2937-94	1	Х	23.00	=	\$23.00
Ex-situ Hydraulic Conductivity / Permeability		Х		=	
Moisture Content (w) ASTM D2216-92 / D4643-93	1	X	12.70	=	\$12.70
Porosity		X		=	
Rock Hydraulic Conductivity Ex-situ		X	4.5	=	15.000000000000000000000000000000000000
Sieve / Particle Size Analysis ASTM D422-63 / D1140-54	1	X	153.00	=	\$153.00
Soil Classification ASTM D2488-90 / D2487-90		X		=	
Soil Particle Density (ps) ASTM D854-92	1	Х		=	
		X		=	
		X		=	
		X		=	

Analytical Costs Form

Metals Analysis		-			
Soil preparation fee for Metals TCLP Soil (one fee per soil sample)	1	X	83.00	=	\$83.0
Soil preparation fee for Metals Total Soil (one fee per soil sample)		Х		=	
Water preparation fee for Metals Water (one fee per water sample)		Х		=	
Arsenic TCLP Soil		X		=	
Arsenic Total Soil		Х		=	
Arsenic Water		Х		=	
Barium TCLP Soil		Х		=	
Barium Total Soil		Х		=	
Barium Water		Х		=	
Cadmium TCLP Soil		X		=	4 91 30
Cadmium Total Soil		Х		=	
Cadmium Water		Х		=	
Chromium TCLP Soil		Х		=	
Chromium Total Soil	ar da l	Х		=	1,1 7, 7
Chromium Water		Х		=	
Cyanide TCLP Soil		X		=	
Cyanide Total Soil		Х		=	
Cyanide Water		X		=	
Iron TCLP Soil		Х		=	
Iron Total Soil		Х		=	1 18-18-5
Iron Water		Х		=	
Lead TCLP Soil	1	X	16.90	-	\$16.90
Lead Total Soil		Х		3=9	
Lead Water		X		=	12.25
Mercury TCLP Soil		Х		-	
Mercury Total Soil		х		=	1 1
Mercury Water		Х		=	
Selenium TCLP Soil		Х		-	
Selenium Total Soil		Х		-	
Selenium Water		Х		=	
Silver TCLP Soil		X		=	
Silver Total Soil		Х		-	
Silver Water		х		=	
Metals TCLP Soil (a combination of all metals) RCRA		Х		=	
Metals Total Soil (a combination of all metals) RCRA		х		=	
Metals Water (a combination of all metals) RCRA		Х		=	
		X		=	
		Х		=	
		Х		=	
		X		=	
Other					
nCore® Sampler, purge-and-trap sampler, or equivalent ampling device		Х		=	
ample Shipping per sampling event ¹	4	Х	50.00	=	\$200.00

A sampling event, at a minimum, is all samples (soil and groundwater) collected in a calendar day.

Total Analytical Costs: \$ 3,149.60

Remediation and Disposal Costs Form

A. Conventional Technology

Excavation, Transportation, and Disposal of contaminated soil and/or the 4-foot backfill material removal during early action activities:

Number of Cubic Yards	Cost per Cubic Yard (\$)	Total Cost
848.00	60.35	\$51,176.80

Backfilling the Excavation:

Number of Cubic Yards	Cost per Cubic Yard (\$)	Total Cost
848.00	21.17	\$17 952 16

Overburden Removal and Return:

Number of Cubic Yards	Cost per Cubic Yard (\$)	Total Cost

B. Alternative Technology

Alternative Technology Selected:	
Number of Cubic Yards of Soil to Be Remediated	
Total Non-Consulting Personnel Costs Summary Sheet (\$)	
Total Remediation Materials Costs Summary Sheet (\$)	
Total Cost of the System	

Remediation and Disposal Costs Form

C. Groundwater Remediation and/or Free Product Removal System

Total Non-Consulting Personnel Costs Summary Sheet (\$)	
Total Remediation Materials Costs Summary Sheet (\$)	
Total Cost of the System	

D. Groundwater and/or Free Product Removal and Disposal

Number of Gallons	Cost per Gallon (\$)	Total Cost
5,386	.72	\$3,877.92

E. Drum Disposal

Number of Drums of Solid Waste	of Drums of Solid Waste Cost per Drum (\$)		
1	264.68	\$264.68	
Number of Drums of Liquid Waste	Cost per Drum (\$)	Total Cost	
1	264.69	\$264.69	
Total Drum Dispo	sal Costs	\$529.37	

Total Remediation and Disposal Costs:	\$73,536.25
---------------------------------------	-------------

Electronic Filing:	Received,	Clerk	's Office,	Decemb	er 5,	2007
	* *	* * *	DCB 2008	2_036 * *	* * *	

Paving, Demolition, and Well Abandonment Costs Form

A. Concrete and Asphalt Placement/Replacement

Number of Square Feet	Asphalt or Concrete	Thickness (inches)	Cost (\$) per Square Foot	Replacement or Placement for an Engineered Barrier	Total Cost
		.00			

Total Concrete and Asphalt	
Placement/Replacement Costs:	

B. Building Destruction or Dismantling and Canopy Removal

Item to Be Destroyed, Dismantled, or Removed	Unit Cost (\$)	Total Cost (\$)
Site building	10,000.00	10,000.00

Total Building Destruction or Dismantling and Canopy Removal Costs:	\$10,000.00
---	-------------

Paving, Demolition, and Well Abandonment Costs Form

C. Well Abandonment

Monitoring Well ID #	Type of Well (HSA / PUSH / Recovery)	Depth of Well (feet)	Cost (\$) per Foot	Total Cost
MW1	HSA	15.00	10.59	\$158.85
MW2	HSA	15.00	10.59	\$158.85
MW3	HSA	15.00	10.59	150150
MW4	HSA	15.00	10.59	\$158.85
MW5	HSA	15.00	10.59	\$158.85
MW6	HSA	15.00	10.59	\$158.85
MW7	HSA	15.00	10.59	\$158.85
MW3 (pre-excavation)	HSA	15.00	10.59	\$158.85 \$158.85

	Total Monitoring Well Abandonment Costs:	\$1,270.80
L		Ψ1,210.00

	Total Paving, Demolition, and Well Abandonment Costs:	\$11,270.80
4		Ψ11,2/0.00

Employee Nam	ie	Personnel Title	Hours	Rate* (\$)	Total Co
Remediation Category			Task		
		Professional Engineer	28.00	105.00	\$2,940.0
CCAP	Review CA	plan			
		Professional Engineer	19.00	105.00	\$1,995.0
CCAP-Budget	Review and	d certify CA budget			
		Professional Engineer	24.00	105.00	\$2,520.0
CCAP-Field	Monitor CA	field activities			
		Professional Engineer	28.00	105.00	\$2,940.0
CACR	Review and	certify CA completion report			
		Professional Engineer	28.00	105.00	\$2,940.00
CA-Pay	Review and	certify CAP preparation and CA	completion reimb	ursements	
		Senior Project Manager	157.00	100.00	\$15,700.00
CCAP	Project man	agement, IEPA and client corresp	oondence, sched	uling, CA plan pr	eparation
	59.5	Senior Project Manager	80.00	100.00	\$8,000.00
CCAP-Budget	CA budget p	reparation, IEPA correspondence	, subcontractor s	etup	
II		Geologist III	80.00	92.00	\$7,360.00
TACO 2 or 3	Conduct Tier	2 soil assessments, compile SSI	L & RBCA Equati	on spreadsheets	5
		Geologist III	52.00	92.00	\$4,784.00
CCAP-Budget	CA budget pi	2			

Employee Nam	0	Personnel Title	Hours	Rate* (\$)	Total Cos		
Remediation Category		Ĭ	Task				
		Geologist III	8.00	92.00	\$736.0		
CCAP-Field	Confirmation	soil sampling, packaging, shippi	ing				
	B-1 6	Geologist III	40.00	92.00	\$3,680.0		
CACR	Compile CA	soil and groundwater analytical re	esults, prepare	completion repor	t		
		Geologist III	36.00	92.00	\$3,312.0		
CA-Pay	Prepare two	CA reimbursement packages					
		Senior Scientist	82.00	89.00	\$7,298.0		
CCAP	Compile data	to evaluate CA plan, revise CA	plan, conduct gr	oundwater mode	eling		
		Senior Scientist	36.00	89.00	\$3,204.0		
TACO 2 or 3	Compile site	specific parameters, incorporate	into TACO anal	ysis			
		Senior Scientist	12.00	89.00	\$1,068.0		
CCAP-Field	Health and sa	afety plan, excavation and backfil	I supervision				
	1-	Senior Scientist	32.00	89.00	\$2,848.0		
CA-Pay	Prepare CA p	lanning and completion reimburs	ements				
	7,774	Senior Scientist	24.00	89.00	\$2,136.00		
CACR	Gather field da	ata for completion report					
		Senior Scientist	40.00	89.00	\$3,560.00		
CCAP-Budget							

Consulting Personnel Costs Form

Employee Nar	ne	Personnel Title	Hours	Rate* (\$)	Total Co
Remediation Category			Task		
	· Villa Pur	Scientist III	60.00	74.00	\$4,440.0
CCAP	Subcontract preparation	or coordination, IEPA correspond	dence, drum disp	posal coordinatio	n, CAP
		Scientist III	57.00	74.00	\$4,218.0
CCAP-Budget	CA budget p	reparation, IEPA and landfill corr	espondence		
Salucian Int		Scientist III	48.00	74.00	\$3,552.0
CCAP-Field	Excavation a surveying	and backfilling supervision, landfil	parameter and	confirmation soil	sampling, v
N. J. S. A. J. J. B. A. L. H. H. J.		Technician 1	8.00	47.00	\$376.0
CCAP	Collect field	data for CAP			
	- 55	Technician 1	68.00	47.00	\$3,196.0
CCAP-Field	Demolition, e confirmation	excavation and backfilling supervisoil sampling, groundwater monit	sion, manifest/w oring, well surve	reight ticket colle	ction,
		Drafter IV	24.00	58.00	\$1,392.0
CCAP	Site maps an	d drawings for corrective action p	lan		
		Drafter IV	24.00	58.00	\$1,392.0
CACR	Site maps and	d drawings for completion report			
	J. Sept.				
	1	L			

Total of Consulting Personnel Costs

\$95,587.00

Consultant's Materials Costs Form

Materials, Equipmen	nt, or Field Purchase	Time or Amount Used	Rate (\$)	Unit	Total Cost
Remediation Category	Description/	Justification			
Photoionization Detector (PID)		4.00	50.00	day	\$200.00
CCA-Field	Screen soil samples				
Environmental Support Vehicle		10.00	50.00	day	\$500.0
CCA-Field	Demolition, Excavation,	Backfill oversight, soil	/groundwater sa	mpling	
Sampling Supplies		5.00	50.00	day	\$250.00
CCA-Field	Landfill profile and exca	vation sampling			
Water Level Meter		1.00	50.00	day	\$50.00
CCA-Field	One round of groundwa	ter sampling			
Pump		1.00	50.00	day	\$50.00
CCA-Field	One round of groundwa	ter sampling			
Mileage		510.00	.48	mile	\$247.35
CCA-Field	Trips to site (TACO sam	ple, excavation/backfil	l, groundwater sa	ampling)	
Terra Core Samplers		27.00	3.00	each	\$81.00
CCA-Field	Confirmation soil sample	es			
Bailers		1.00	10.28	each	\$10.28
CCA-Field	Groundwater monitoring				
Prints		1.00	9.00	each	\$9.00
CCAP	Site maps/plans				

Rate (\$) Justification 65.00 100.00	month day	
100.00	day	\$600.00
100.00	day	\$600.00
		\$600.00 \$50.00
50.00	day	\$50.00
100.00	each	\$100.00
50.00	each	\$100.00
	50.00	

J		
	Total of Consultant Materials Costs	\$2,312,63

Owner/Operator and Licensed Professional Engineer/Geologist Budget Certification Form

ac thi als of co Ap pa	neereby certify that I intend to seek payment from the UST citivities for Leaking UST incident 20010679 is budget are for necessary activities and are reasonable so certify that the costs included in this budget are not for 415 ILCS 5/57, no costs are included in this budget that ests exceed Subpart H: Maximum Payment Amounts, Appendix E Personnel Titles and Rates of 35 III. Adm. Code 732, mendment. Such ineligible costs include but are not limit	and accurate r corrective acc are not descril pendix D Sam e 732 or 734. 606 or 734.630	I further to the best tion in excepted in the color Handlin I further ce	certify that the of my knowled ess of the min corrective acting and Analysertify that cost	e costs set for edge and beli- imum require ion plan, and is amounts, a s ineligible fo	orth in ef. I ments no and
	Costs associated with ineligible tanks. Costs associated with site restoration (e.g., pump Costs associated with utility replacement (e.g., se Costs incurred prior to IEMA notification. Costs associated with planned tank pulls.			e, etc.).		
	Legal fees or costs. Costs incurred prior to July 28, 1989. Costs associated with installation of new USTs or	the repair of e	existing US	Ts.		
Ow	ner/Operator: Dave McGhee					
Au	thorized Representative:		Title:			
Sig	nature: Line V. Marther		Date:	6-2	9-07	
In a corror I pre or r 732 acc to the Environment of the corror of the c	(Notary Public) addition, I certify under penalty of law that all activities the inducted under my supervision or were conducted under Licensed Professional Geologist and reviewed by me; the spared under my supervision; that, to the best of my known report has been completed in accordance with the Environce or 734, and generally accepted standards and practices curate and complete. I am aware there are significant per the Illinois EPA, including but not limited to fines, imprison vironmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5/44 and 57.17].	the supervision at this plan, but wledge and bet onmental Protes s of my profess enalties for sub nment, or both	THOM NOTARY PI MY COMMI ect of this n of anothe idget, or re lief, the wo action Act [- sion; and the mitting fals as provide	r Licensed Proport and all a rk described in 415 ILCS 5], that the information sed in Section 1	FILLINOIS 12/07/07 12/07	rere udget, ode ed is ations
L.P	E./L.P.G.: Kevin R. Saylor	L.P.E./L.P.	G. Seal:		LICENSED OFESSIGNAL	
L.P	E./L.P.G. Signature: Kem L. Sen		Date:6/2	4/22 t	ENGINEER OF	*
Sub	oscribed and sworn to before me the 29th day of	Seal:	ne	, <u>"Ea</u> o	<u>€7,431€,,,,</u>	
	(Notary Public)	OFF	ICIAL SEAL	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
The requ	Illinois EPA is authorized to require this information und uired. Failure to do so may result in the delay or denial of	er 415 IL CANS an Oldryddiae	Y SHALLWA	Nure of this int Fribid NOISted he 12/03/10	ormation is reunder.	

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office, December 5, 2007

Office of the Illinois

State Fire Marshal



General Office 217-785-0969 FAX

CERTIFIED MAIL - RECEIPT REQUESTED #7000 1670 0009 0626 5434

217-782-1062 Divisions ARSON INVESTIGATION

217-782-9116

BOILER and PRESSURE VESSEL SAFETY 217-782-2696 FIRE PREVENTION 217-785-4714

MANAGEMENT SERVICES

217-782-9889 INFIRS 217-785-5826 HUMAN RESOURCES 217-785-1026

PERSONNEL STANDARDS and EDUCATION

217-782-4542 PETROLEUM and CHEMICAL SAFETY

217-785-5878 PUBLIC INFORMATION

217-785-1021 WEB SITE ww.state.ll.us/ostm

July 2, 2001

Dave's Conoco 112 N. Sycamore Villa Grove, IL 61956

In Re:

Facility No. 4-014428 IEMA Incident No. 01-0679 Dave's Conoco 112 N. Sycamore

Villa Grove, Douglas Co., IL

Dear Applicant:

The Reimbursement Eligibility and Deductible Application received on June 21, 2001 for the above referenced occurrence has been reviewed. The following determinations have been made based upon this review.

It has been determined that you are eligible to seek payment of costs in excess of \$10,000. The costs must be in response to the occurrence referenced above and associated with the following tanks:

Eligible Tanks

Tank 1 6,000-gallon Gasoline Tank 2 6,000-gallon Gasoline Tank 3 6,000-gallon Diesel

You must contact the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency to receive a packet of Agency billing forms for submitting your request for payment.

An owner or operator is eligible to access the Underground Storage Tank Fund if the eligibility requirements are satisfied:

- Neither the owner nor the operator is the United States Government, 1.
- 2. The tank does not contain fuel that is exempt from the Motor Fuel Tax Law,
- 3. The costs were incurred as a result of a confirmed release of any of the following substances:

"Fuel", as defined in Section 1.19 of the Motor Fuel Tax Law

Aviation fuel

Heating oil

Kerosene

1035 Stevenson Drive . Springfi-Li III

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office, December 5, 2007

Used oil, which has been refined from crude oil used in a motor vehicle, as defined in Section 1.3 of the Motor Fuel Tax Law.

- The owner or operator registered the tank and paid all fees in accordance with the statutory and regulatory requirements of the Gasoline Storage Act.
- 5. The owner or operator notified the Illinois Emergency Management Agency of a confirmed release, the costs were incurred after the notification and the costs were a result of a release of a substance listed in this Section. Costs of corrective action or indemnification incurred before providing that notification shall not be eligible for payment.
- The costs have not already been paid to the owner or operator under a private insurance policy, other written agreement, or court order.
- The costs were associated with "corrective action".

This constitutes the final decision as it relates to your eligibility and deductibility. We reserve the right to change the deductible determination should additional information that would change the determination become available. An underground storage tank owner or operator may appeal the decision to the Illinois Pollution Control Board (Board), pursuant to Section 57.9 (c) (2). An owner or operator who seeks to appeal the decision shall file a petition for a hearing before the Board within 35 days of the date of mailing of the final decision, (35 Illinois Administrative Code 105.102(a) (2)).

For information regarding the filing of an appeal, please contact:

Dorothy Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board State of Illinois Center 100 West Randolph, Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 814-3620

If you have any questions regarding the eligibility or deductibility determinations, please contact our Office at (217) 785-1020 or (217) 785-5878 and ask for Deanne Lock.

Melvin H Swith

Melvin H. Smith Division Director

Division of Petroleum and Chemical Safety

MHS/dl

cc:

IEPA

Facility File



ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

1021 North Grand Avenue East, P.O. Box 19276, Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276 – (217) 782-3397 James R. Thompson Center, 100 West Randolph, Suite 11-300, Chicago, IL 60601 – (312) 814-6026

ROD R. BLAGOJEVICH, GOVERNOR

DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, DIRECTOR

217/782-6762

CERTIFIED MAIL

NOV 0 1 2007

7007 0220 0000 0039 1676

Mr. Dave McGhee 903 South Spruce Street Villa Grove, Illinois 61956

NOV 0 5 2007

Re:

LPC #0410355014 -- Douglas County

Villa Grove/ McGhee, Dave 112 North Sycamore Street

Leaking UST Incident No. 20010679

Leaking UST Technical File

HDC ENGINEERING

Dear Mr. McGhee,

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA) has reviewed the Corrective Action Plan (plan) submitted for the above-referenced incident. This plan, dated July 10, 2007 was received by the Illinois EPA on July 11, 2007 Citations in this letter are from the Environmental Protection Act (Act), as amended by Public Act 92-0554 on June 24, 2002, and 35 Illinois Administrative Code (35 Ill. Adm. Code).

Pursuant to Sections 57.7(b)(2) and 57.7(c) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.505(b) and 734.510(a), the plan is approved. The activities proposed in the plan are appropriate to demonstrate compliance with Title XVI of the Act. Please note that all activities associated with the remediation of this release proposed in the plan must be executed in accordance with all applicable regulatory and statutory requirements, including compliance with the proper permits.

In addition, the budget is modified pursuant to Sections 57.7(b)(3) and 57.7(c) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.505(b) and 734.510(b). Based on the modifications listed in Section 2 of Attachment A, the amounts listed in Section 1 of Attachment A have been approved. Please note that the costs must be incurred in accordance with the approved plan. Be aware that the amount of payment from the Fund may be limited by Sections 57.8(d), 57.8(e), and 57.8(g) of the Act, as well as 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630 and 734.655.

Further, pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.145, it is required that the Illinois EPA be notified of field activities prior to the date the field activities take place. This notice must include a description of the field activities to be conducted; the name of the person conducting the activities; and the date, time, and place the activities will be conducted. This notification of field activities may be done by telephone, facsimile, or electronic mail.

Page 2

Pursuant to Sections 57.7(b)(5) and 57.12(c) and (d) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.100 and 734.125, the Illinois EPA requires that a Corrective Action Completion Report that achieves compliance with applicable remediation objectives be submitted within 30 days after completion of the plan to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Bureau of Land - #24
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Section
1021 North Grand Avenue East
Post Office Box 19276
Springfield, IL 62794-9276

Please submit all correspondence in duplicate and include the Re: block shown at the beginning of this letter.

Please note that, if within four years after the approval of this plan, compliance with the applicable remediation objectives has not been achieved and a Corrective Action Completion Report has not been submitted, the Illinois EPA requires the submission of a status report pursuant to Section 57.7(b)(6) of the Act.

An underground storage tank system owner or operator may appeal this decision to the Illinois Pollution Control Board. Appeal rights are attached.

If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact James R. Malcom, III at 217/524-9140.

Sincerely,

Harry A. Chappel, P.E.

Unit Manager

Leaking Underground Storage Tank Section

Division of Remediation Management

Bureau of Land

HAC:JRM

Attachment: Budget Modification

cc: HDC

BOL File

Appeal Rights

An underground storage tank owner or operator may appeal this final decision to the Illinois Pollution Control Board pursuant to Sections 40 and 57.7(c)(4) of the Act by filing a petition for a hearing within 35 days after the date of issuance of the final decision. However, the 35-day period may be extended for a period of time not to exceed 90 days by written notice from the owner or operator and the Illinois EPA within the initial 35-day appeal period. If the owner or operator wishes to receive a 90-day extension, a written request that includes a statement of the date the final decision was received, along with a copy of this decision, must be sent to the Illinois EPA as soon as possible.

For information regarding the filing of an appeal, please contact:

Dorothy Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board State of Illinois Center 100 West Randolph, Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601 312/814-3620

For information regarding the filing of an extension, please contact:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Division of Legal Counsel 1021 North Grand Avenue East Post Office Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 217/782-5544

Attachment «Attachment»

Re: LPC #0410355014 -- Douglas County

Villa Grove/ McGhee, Dave 112 North Sycamore Street

Leaking UST Incident No. 20010679

Leaking UST Technical File

SECTION 1

As a result of Illinois EPA's modification(s) in Section 2 of this Attachment A, the following amounts are approved:

\$4,390.05	Drilling and Monitoring Well Costs
\$3,149.60	Analytical Costs
\$73,536.25	Remediation and Disposal Costs
\$0.00	UST Removal and Abandonment Costs
\$11,270.80	Paving, Demolition, and Well Abandonment Costs
\$0.00	Consulting Personnel Costs
\$2,312.63	Consultant's Materials Costs

Handling charges will be determined at the time a billing package is reviewed by the Illinois EPA. The amount of allowable handling charges will be determined in accordance with Section 57.8(f) of the Environmental Protection Act (Act) and 35 Illinois Administrative Code (35 Ill. Adm. Code) 734.635.

SECTION 2

The Agency is requesting a more detailed breakdown of costs per individual in the personnel portion of the budget. Based on this request all of the consulting personnel costs were deducted from the budget.

JRM

Employee Name	_	Personnel Title	Hours	Rate* (\$)	Total Cost
Remediation Category		Та	sk		
		Professional Engineer	28.00	105.00	\$2,940.00
CCAP	Review CA pla	n			
		Professional Engineer	19.00	105.00	\$1,995.00
CCAP-Budget	Review and ce	rtify CA budget			
		Professional Engineer	24.00	105.00	\$2,520.00
CCAP-Field	Monitor CA fiel	d activities			
					1
		Professional Engineer	28.00	105.00	\$2,940.00
CACR	Review and certify CA completion report				
		Professional Engineer	28.00	105.00	\$2,940.00
CA-Pay	Review and certify CAP preparation and CA completion reimbursements				
		Senior Project Manager	157.00	100.00	\$15,700.00
CCAP	Project manag	ement, IEPA and client correspo	ndence, sched	duling, CA plan բ	preparation
		Senior Project Manager	80.00	100.00	\$8,000.00
CCAP-Budget	CA budget pre	paration, IEPA correspondence,	subcontractor	setup	
		Geologist III	80.00	92.00	\$7,360.00
TACO 2 or 3	Conduct Tier 2	soil assessments, compile SSL	& RBCA Equa	ation spreadshee	ets
				Г	
		Geologist III	52.00	92.00	\$4,784.00
CCAP-Budget	CA budget pre	paration			

Employee Name		Personnel Title	Hours	Rate* (\$)	Total Cost
Remediation Category		Tas	sk		
		T			
		Geologist III	8.00	92.00	\$736.00
CCAP-Field	Confirmation s	oil sampling, packaging, shipping	I		
		Г		l I	
	_	Geologist III	40.00	92.00	\$3,680.00
CACR	Compile CA so	oil and groundwater analytical res	ults, prepare	completion repo	rt
		Caalariat III	00.00	02.00	ФО 040 00
	T	Geologist III	36.00	92.00	\$3,312.00
CA-Pay	Prepare two C	A reimbursement packages			
		<u> </u>			_
	_	Senior Scientist	82.00	89.00	\$7,298.00
CCAP	Compile data t	o evaluate CA plan, revise CA pla	an, conduct g	roundwater mod	leling
		<u> </u>			
		Senior Scientist	36.00	89.00	\$3,204.00
TACO 2 or 3	Compile site sp	Compile site specific parameters, incorporate into TACO analysis			
				22.22	^
	_	Senior Scientist	12.00	89.00	\$1,068.00
CCAP-Field	Health and saf	ety plan, excavation and backfill	supervision		
		<u> </u>			
		Senior Scientist	32.00	89.00	\$2,848.00
CA-Pay	Prepare CA pla	anning and completion reimburse	ments		
		ı		I	
		Senior Scientist	24.00	89.00	\$2,136.00
CACR	Gather field da	ta for completion report			
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
		Senior Scientist	40.00	89.00	\$3,560.00
CCAP-Budget	Estimate costs	for CA completion and fill out CA	N budget forms	S	

Employee Name		Personnel Title	Hours	Rate* (\$)	Total Cost
Remediation Category		Та	sk		
		Scientist III	60.00	74.00	\$4,440.00
CCAP	Subcontractor preparation	coordination, IEPA corresponder	nce, drum disp	osal coordination	on, CAP
	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Scientist III	57.00	74.00	\$4,218.00
CCAP-Budget	CA budget pre	paration, IEPA and landfill corres	pondence		
		Scientist III	48.00	74.00	\$3,552.00
CCAP-Field	Excavation and surveying	d backfilling supervision, landfill p	arameter and	confirmation so	il sampling, well
		Technician 1	8.00	47.00	\$376.00
CCAP	Collect field da	ta for CAP		!	
	1	Technician 1	68.00	47.00	\$3,196.00
CCAP-Field		cavation and backfilling supervisi oil sampling, groundwater monito			ection,
		Drafter IV	24.00	58.00	\$1,392.00
CCAP	Site maps and	drawings for corrective action pla	an		
		Drafter IV	24.00	58.00	\$1,392.00
CACR	Site maps and	drawings for completion report			
	T				

^{*}Refer to the applicable Maximum Payment Amounts document.

Total of Consulting Personnel Costs	\$95,587.00